	Case 3:13-cv-05072-NC Dod	cument 11	Filed 12/13/13	Page 1 of 3
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
18	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
19				
20	JULIE MEYER,		Case No. 3:13	8-cv-05072-NC
21	Plaintiff,		MODIFIED	STIPULATION AND
22	v. UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, INC., a Minnesota Corporation Defendant.		[PROPOSED] ORDER COMPELLING MEYER'S COMPLAINT TO ARBITRATION	
23			ARBITRATION	
24				
25	Detendant.			
26			J	
27				
28				
	[PROPOSED] ORDER COMPELLING MEYER'S CLAIMS TO ARBITRATION			

//

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Julie Meyer ("Meyer") is a former employee of United Healthcare Services, Inc. ("UHS"), and Meyer's employment with UHS began on or about August 26, 2006.

WHEREAS, on August 28, 2006, Meyer acknowledged and agreed to UHS's Arbitration Policy ("Arbitration Policy"). A copy of the Arbitration Policy is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Arbitration Policy defines the Parties to include UnitedHealth Group Incorporated and its subsidiaries, which includes UnitedHealth Services, Inc., and its employees, which is defined to include all current and former employees. Thus, the parties to the Arbitration Policy are Meyer and UHS.

WHEREAS, on September 27, 2013, Meyer filed a lawsuit against United Behavioral Health ("UBH") arising out of her employment with UHS.

The parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. The parties agree that, to the extent the statute of limitations for Meyer's claims against UHS had not expired as of September 27, 2013, Meyer's choice to file the September 27, 2013 Complaint, in lieu of filing an arbitration demand does not, in itself, render her Complaint untimely.
- 2. The parties agree that, because Meyer was employed by UHS and not UBH, UHS should be substituted as the named defendant, and UBH should be omitted from the Complaint. The parties agree that, to the extent the statute of limitations for Meyer's claims against UHS had not expired as of September 27, 2013, Meyer's choice to name UBH instead of UHS does not, in itself, render her claims against UHS untimely.
- The parties agree that Meyer will submit her claims against UHS to arbitration before
 the American Arbitration Association, in accordance with the terms of the Arbitration
 Policy.

Case 3:13-cv-05072-NC Document 11 Filed 12/13/13 Page 3 of 3